PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D 0 8 APR 2005

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILI

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 101046-1 WO			FOR FURTHER AC	TION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No. PCT/GB2004/001939			International filing date (c	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 07.05.2003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC A61K31/498, A61K31/517, A61K45/06, A61P35/00, A61P9/12							
Applicant ASTRAZENECA AB et al.							
1.	This report is the Authority under A	international pre rticle 35 and trar	liminary examination repairments	oort, established by this according to Article 36	International Preliminary Examining		
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.						
3.	This report is also	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:					
			o the International Burea				
	and/oı Admir	r sheets containi nistrative Instruct	ng rectifications authoriz tions).	ed by this Authority (se	nended and are the basis of this report se Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the		
	beyor Suppl	nd the disclosure lemental Box.	in the international appl	ication as filed, as indic	ders contain an amendment that goes cated in item 4 of Box No. I and the		
	eamence	listing and/or tab	Bureau only) a total of (in oles related thereto, in co Listing (see Section 802	omputer readable form	r of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a only, as indicated in the Supplemental instructions).		
4.	This report conta	ins indications re	elating to the following ite	ems:			
	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the opi	nion				
	☐ Box No. II	Priority					
	☐ Box No. III	Non-establishm	ent of opinion with rega	rd to novelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability		
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of					
	⊠ Box No. V	applicability; cit	ations and explanations	 with regard to novelty supporting such staten 	, inventive step or industrial nent		
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docume					
	⊠ Box No. VII		in the international appl				
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observa	ations on the internation	аі арріісаціол			
Date	e of submission of the	demand		Date of completion of th	is report		
29.11.2004				31.03.2005			
Name and mailing address of the international			nal	Authorized Officer	, nes Peters.		
preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465			656 epmu d	Telephone No. +49 89 2	2399-		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001939

	Box No. I Basis of the report					
1.	/ith regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was ed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	☐ This report is based on tran which is the language of a t	slations from the original language into the following language , ranslation furnished for the purposes of:				
	☐ international search (und☐ publication of the international preliminary	der Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) ational application (under Rule 12.4) examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)				
2. With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement she have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):						
	Description, Pages					
	1-96	as originally filed				
	Claims, Numbers	•				
	1-12	as originally filed				
	Drawings, Sheets					
	1/3-3/3	as originally filed				
	☐ a sequence listing and/or a	ny related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing				
3.	☐ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
	☐ the description, pages☐ the claims, Nos.					
	☐ the drawings, sheets/fig					
	☐ the sequence listing (sp☐ any table(s) related to s	equence listing <i>(specify)</i> :				
4.	☐ This report has been estabed had not been made, since they Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c	olished as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the c)).				
	☐ the description, pages☐ the claims, Nos.☐ the drawings, sheets/fig	us.				
	the sequence listing (sp	pecify):				
	+ Tf item / annlies s	some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001939

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-12

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1

• • •

No: Claims

2-12

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-12

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

PCT/GB2004/001939

Re Item V

y

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO 01/74360 (cited in the application)

D2: WO OO/ 47212 (cited in the application)

D3: US-A-5 770 599 D4: WO 02/ 092579

2. Novelty

None of the cited prior art documents discloses a combination of an anti-angiogenic agent with an inhibitor of the Src family of non-receptor tyrosine kinases nor its therapeutic use.

Prior art documents D1 (claims 1, 4-6, 9-11; p. 1, l. 31-p. 2, l. 3) and D2 (p. 2, l. 15-21; ex. 238; claim1) both disclose the use of the same anti-angiogenic agents as the present application for the treatment of diseases associated with angiogenesis, which are as the diseases defined on p. 8, l. 4-9 of the present application. Furthermore, it is known from D1 that VEGF tyrosine kinase inhibitors lead to a sustained increase in blood pressure (p. 4, l. 3-5).

The therapeutic use of anti-angiogenic agents of the same structure as claimed in the dependent claims of the present application for the treatment of cancer is further disclosed in D3 (claims 18, 19).

Inhibitors of the Src family of non-receptor tyrosine kinases of a 4-anilinoquinazoline structure are known for the treatment of solid tumour diseases from D4 (claim10). There is, however, no disclosure in the published prior art that inhibitors of the Src family of non-receptor tyrosine kinases lower blood levels.

As a result, the subject-matter of claims 1-12 of the present application seems to be novel over the cited prior art (Art. 33(2) PCT).

PCT/GB2004/001939

3. Inventive Step

Although the subject-matter of independent claims 2, 5, 7 and 9 of the present application seems to be novel in view of the cited prior art it is not inventive (Art. 33(3) PCT).

The selection of an anti-angiogenic agent and a Src kinase inhibitor for use in the treatment of cancer according to independent claims 2, 5, 7 and 9 of the present application seems to be obvious in view of the cited prior art as each of the active compounds is known for its use in the treatment of cancer (see above).

The discovery of additional items of knowledge about further mechanisms of action (normotensive effect) of independent claims 2, 7 and 9 of the present application underlying the therapeutic application (anti-cancer treatment) cannot be regarded as inventive unless a new technical application is achieved.

With regard to the subject-matter of claim 5 no convincing evidence has been presented in order to show that the combination product acts synergistically.

Dependent claims 3, 4, 6, 8 and 10-12 do not contain additional technical features which might establish an inventive step over prior art; these claims are only allowable in combination with patentable independent claims (Rule 29(4) EPC).

Re Item VII

Certain defects in the international application

The expression "disease state associated with angiogenesis" of claim 1 is not clear and should be replaced by the definition given on p. 8, l. 4-9 of the description of the present application (Art. 6 PCT).